Case 1:19-cv-09993-KPF Document 56 Filed 08/28/20 Page 1 of 2



Principal
1450 Broadway
New York, NY 10018
jmurphy@johnmurphylaw.com
Tel: (646) 862-2012

VIA ECF FILING ON AUGUST 26, 2020

Hon. Katherine Polk Failla United States District Judge United States District Court Southern District of New York 40 Foley Square, Room 2103 New York, NY 10007



Re: Starostenko et al. v. UBS AG (A Swiss Bank) and UBS (Bahamas) Ltd. (In

Liquidation), 1:19-cv-09993 (S.D.N.Y)

Dear Judge Failla,

We submit this letter on behalf of defendant UBS AG in the above referenced action in response to the Court's Order dated August 21, 2020 (Dkt. #50), which asked whether our law firm would accept service of the Second Amended Complaint on behalf of UBS AG, or if not, whether we would provide a New York address at which the US Marshall's Office could effect service on UBS AG.

First, we remain unauthorized to accept service on behalf of UBS AG. Second, we cannot provide a New York address at which service on UBS AG would be effective as UBS AG does not maintain such an address in New York. Rather, as we have pointed out in our Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint (Dkt. #45), and as the Court itself has recognized in this case (Dkt. #10, Dkt. #50, FN 1), as a foreign domiciled entity, UBS AG must be served pursuant to the Hauge Convention and not through the US Marshall Office's services.

Third, given that the Court has now ruled that the Second Amended Complaint filed after we submitted UBS AG's Motion to Dismiss the First Amended Complaint is the operative complaint in this case (Dkt. #48), we would ask for the Court's clarification as to whether or not its previously issued briefing schedule on the First Amended Complaint remains intact (Dkt. #36), or, whether the Court intends to issue a revised briefing schedule.

Indeed, to the extent feasible and more practical given current resource constraints, we would respectfully suggest a brief conference call with the Court at which this scheduling issue and any related procedural matters could be discussed. We will be available at a date and time convenient to the Court should a conference call be deemed useful.

Respectfully submitted,

John Murphy, Esq.

Case 1:19-cv-09993-KPF Document 56 Filed 08/28/20 Page 2 of 2

The Court is in receipt of the above letter from Defendant UBS AG (Dkt. #54). In light of difficulties related to service of process upon Defendants UBS AG and UBS (Bahamas), all further briefing in this matter is hereby suspended pending further order of the Court.

SO ORDERED.

Dated: August 28, 2020

New York, New York

HON. KATHERINE POLK FAILLA UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

Katherin Palle Fails